2434/ml

App F IX 4

Previous References
ML 48-47(36)

1945-49
(ML)

File No 59-48
Serial Nos 47,48

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos Two

CPN 12/(B)

Part B for Dec 48

SUBJECT

Representation of Sri V Muddappa, Assessing Officer, Bangalore Dist regarding fixing his pay etc

---

To The [illegible], Prsdt, T ML CC, Anekal

No L 6879/D 22-12-48
Defers the proposal

2434/ml

Representation of Sri V Muddappa, Assessing officer Bangalore District

From

The president Town Municipal Council Anekal

No H M e 38/48-49 dated 22-9-48

Forwards the representation dated 22-9-48 of the above Assessing officer for giving a higher pay

1) Sri V Muddappa is stated to have drawn previously Rs 100/ p M plus Rs 25/ p A as Food inspector Having now to run two establishments necessitated by the nature of work to be performed as Assessing officer, he is stated to have been adversely affected in his finances by his new appointment It is stated that when in his permanent appointment as Taluk Shirastedar, he is to get Rs 102/ p M from 1 1 48 He therefore requests that he may be given the first grade or the maximum of Rs 130/ p M in the second grade from the date he reported himself for duty viz 1-9-48 The giving of first grade to him is not feasible as there are no vacancies at present and also promotions to this grade are made according to seniority The pay of this officer does not also justify the giving to him of the first grade

2) Sri D S Siddegowda and J N.

2434/ml

Representation of Sri C Muddappa Assessing Officer Bangalore Circuit

Radhakrishnan have been given the maximum of Rs 130/- in the Second grade under similar circumstances, and also Jawab c Abdul Jabbar has been fixed at Rs 138/- p M in the first grade and posted as chief officer, Tarikere (Collectorne not traceable - vide Requisitions slips enclosed)

3) Since the Request is supported by precedents, the Request of Sri Muddappa to be given Rs 130/- in the Second grade from 1-9-48 is for consideration and orders

A

Rao 18/11/48 Rs 130 net

4) Unless & until the collectors reports are getting, no action can be taken. Will the CW please take some pains & get at the reports? This act of putting up slips without the records of the Govt records is not good. These are special reports which are only after months and years elapsed between putting without any delay.

for 23/11/48

6/11

5) Municipal collection ml 48-49 (Sla 36) fixes the pay of Sri D S Siddegowda and Sri J N Radhakrishnan at Rs 130/- p M in the Second grade and sanctions their continuance in the post to permit their continuance in the G R S up put up

Slag "V"

Rao 1/11/48 Rs 11 48

6) A - is for approval, in consultation with the financial Secretary

Rewsey for 4/11/48

Representation of Sri V Muddappa, Assessing officer Bangalore Dn

Fin Secy
10/c

7) 8
9/11
11/11/48

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
U O NO FL 3749
11 NOV 1948
BANGALORE
Early
12/11/48

8) The emoluments that the official was getting in a temporary post or on account of his having to maintain two establishments cannot outweigh the fundamental principles of financial propriety In the precedents quoted the Finance Department had made its position clear They have to be treated as special cases, for if what was there sanctioned be made a general rule, then it would be impossible to resist similar representations in future and every official in a temporary department will approach Government for a higher pay on his transfer to a new appointment It is needless for the Finance Department to reiterate the financial implications in such cases. The request may be kindly turned down to avoid further precedents

Rev Secy w/c 16 11 48
17/11

8
17/11
17/11/48

9) The opinion of the Financial Secretary is for perusal ~~this~~ Sri Muddappa's Representation for being given Rs 120/ is not merely for the reason of his getting higher emoluments in the Food Supplies Dept, which is temporary, but also for the reason that his pay in his permanent appointment as Taluk Shersteddar in the Revenue Dept is to be fixed at Rs 102/ from 1 1 48 ~~and Rs 108/ from~~ which has to be raised to Rs 108/ on 1 1 49 He is now given only Rs 100/ p M as Assessing

4

2434/ml

Representation of Sri Muddappa, Assessing Officer Bangalore Dt

officer adversely L stands to lose even with reference to his permanent appointment as the Revenue Department. Further the expenditure on this appointment is to be met from Municipal funds and not debited to the ~~State~~ general revenues

10) Submitted for orders

Ans 19/11/48

11) In the light of the facts stated at Para 9 supra, the request referred to at A in para 3 above which is reasonable is for orders

new secy for 22/11/48

54C1
24/11

12) There is a similar case of one Sri Savanda setty also in the same Dept. is it not? If so how is that case disposed of?

24/11

13) This case is of course similar to that of Sri. B V Savandasetty, so far as the question of fixing the pay is concerned. But in the case of Sri B V Savandasetty, besides the higher initial pay asked for, the D F S had recommended his relation in the Food Dept which involved some obvious financial difficulties to grant him the higher pay in view of his post there being one of a fixed pay on Rs 100/- p.m. Hence he was allowed to

Representation of Sri U Nuddappa Assessing Officer

too seconded, but the question of giving him a higher pay was ordered to be deferred, pending his allocation as ~~the~~ the permanent appointment as Taluk Shersteder

14) Sri Nuddappa has come away on duty here and in similar circumstances, Janab e Abdul Jabbar, District Treasurer, Tumkur has been ~~[illegible]~~ directly appointed as ~~[illegible]~~ first grade chief officers ~~place~~ ~~pay~~ and fixed on Rs 138/ p M in Grade Rs 130-8-170 in G O no L 18164-9/ml 48-47-38 D/as 6 48

flag 'N'

15) The Request of Sri. U Nuddappa to give him the maximum of Rs 130/ p M in the second grade from 1-9-48 the date of his reporting for duty is for orders

26/11/48 16) Refer para 12 of the notes supra — for orders

27/11/48

Rev Secy) for 27/11/48

5692
29/11

OFFICE OF
THE MINISTER FOR
LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT
No 2691
Date 1/12

L. S. 8

11) Refer para 8 supra for orders

30/11/48

for 1/12/48

This may be over till the allocation list is finalised

8/12/48

8/12

9/12/48

6

2434/ml,

Representation of Sri V Naddappa, Assessing Officer Bangalore St

Draft letter to the President Anekal Town Municipal Council is put up for approval

Kar 10/12/48

10/12/48

To

The President Anekal Town rly

No L 6079 Dt/22-12-48

Defer the proposal

B

24 12 48

MR 59-48-47 ML 2434

OFFICE OF
THE MINISTER FOR
LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT
No 694
Date

Office of the President
Town Municipal Council
Anekal, Dated 23/9/48

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
25 SEP 1948
MUNICIPAL BRANCH

From
Sri R Channappa
President,
TOWN MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
ANEKAL

23/9/48
Cover wrongly addressed return to Secretary
Secy

To
The Secretary
Local Self Government
In Mysore, Bangalore
Bangalore

No. HMC 39/48-49
Encl 2
TOWN MUNICIPAL COUNCIL ANEKAL

Sir,

In submitting herewith the application of Sri V Muddappa B Sc Assessing officer, Regarding fixation of his pay etc, I have the honour to state that he has already taken up the work relating to the revission of Assessment and has been pushing through the same expeditiously His work is found to be very satisfactory and deserving every further encouragement

Hence I request that his case may kindly be

6

2434/mb

Considered favourably

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

R Ramappa
President

Town Municipal Council
Anekal
22/9/44

To

The secretary to Government,
Local Self Government Department
Bangalore

Through,

The president,
Town Municipal Council,
Anekal Town

Sir,

Subject Fixation of pay of Sri V Muddaiah
Assessing Officer, Anekal town

Kindly permit me to place the following few lines before you for your valuable consideration and favourable orders

In pursuance of G O No L 935-57/ME 48-47-45 dated 29 7 48, including my name in the eligibility list for chief officers and Assessing officers, as sl No 1, I was appointed as Assessing officer in G O No L 1712 26/ME 48 47 46 dated 17 8 48 and posted to Bangalore District Accordingly, and as per Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's orders, I have reported myself for duty at Anekal and have been carrying on the work relating to the revision of assessment

In this connection, and while being extremely grateful to Govt for having included my name at least in the list of Chief officers and Assessing officers, I beg to submit that the grade pay of the present appointment being Rs 100 6 130 only the salary I am to get is Rs 100 + Rs 25 CA + Rs 181 DA i.e Rs 143/ only

P T O

6/> 4

Immediately prior to this appointment, I was already getting a pay of Rs100/- as Food Inspector at Bangalore and my salary was Rs100 + Rs 20 CA + Rs22 8-0 DA ie Rs142-8-0 Thus, it could be kindly seen that practically there is no difference at all in my emoluments though the present appointment is one made by Government and as per statute, involving more responsibilities Moreover, as the nature of work is such that I will have to be moving from place to place once in every two or three months, it is not possible to set up my family at any one particular place or Town and I am constrained to allow my family to continue at Bangalore only This, as is also even otherwise inevitable, has caused me an extra expenditure of about a minimum of Rs40-45 per month towards boarding and lodging etc, As there is no increase in my emoluments at all, I find it impossible to make both ends meet, making provision for this two way expenditure

I have already submitted an application in this behalf through the Director of Food Supplies in Mysore, Bangalore before I was relieved of my duties as Food Inspector and I hope that the same will have reached ~~by~~ your gracious office by now

Moreover, even in the Revenue Department my pay will have to be fixed at Rs102/- as on 1 1 48 in the revised grade of Rs 80-5 90 6 150 for Taluk Sheristedars, as I was appointed as Taluk Sheristedar prior to 1 1 1947, the date of revision

In these circumstances, I hum

I humbly pray that I may kindly be appointed as a First grade clerk officer in grade Rs 130-8 170 or if this is not found feasible immediately, my starting pay may kindly be fixed at Rs 130/- in the present grade from the date of my appointment as has been done in the case of a few officials like (1) Mr D S Siddeponna, (2) J N Radhakrishna etc with an additional privilege of their continuing in their own Department, without actually joining the new appointment and thereby avoiding such additional expenditure as I have referred to above

I once again request you sir, to be so good as to consider my case favourably and grant my request

For this act of kindness, I shall ever remain grateful to you

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
U Hundappa
Assessing Officer,
Anekal TOWN

Anekal
Dated 22 9 1948

No. L 6879 H 22-12-48 6

G. Mh 59-48 48

The president
Town Municipal Council
Anekal.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. M.C. 38-48-49 dated 22-9-48 forwarding the representation of Sri. G. Muddappa, Assistant Officer for fixing his pay at the maximum of Rs 130/- in the second grade, I am directed to state that the question of fixing his pay will be considered after the allocation statement in his permanent department is finalised.

Yours faithfully

Sd/- G. Ramaiah
Secy
10/12/48